

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/084,222 | SHIMOKAWA ET AL. |
| | Examiner Etienne P. LeRoux | Art Unit 2161 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Etienne P. LeRoux. (3) _____

(2) Donna K Mason. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

39 / 38

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative to propose correcting a typographical error by changing the numerical designation of claim 39 to claim 38

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)